



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded CF #20-0813-S1: We need a Green DTLA, no to Olympic Tower

2 messages

Debra Bergman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sun, Oct 3, 2021 at 7:26 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org, councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org, emma.howard@lacity.org, gilbert.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org, councilmember.lee@lacity.org

Honorable Councilmember De Leon and PLUM Committee Members,

I am concerned about the insufficient study of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions in the Olympic Tower Project.

The Greenhouse Gas analysis contained in the Project's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) fails to comply with CEQA Guidelines. Specifically, the GHG plans relied upon in the EIR are entirely aspirational and lacking any binding, project-specific requirements. Despite the Project's GHG emissions exceeding thresholds routinely used by the City for other City Projects, the City erroneously refuses to apply the same thresholds now to this project.

Given the insufficient Greenhouse Gas emissions analysis for this project, I urge the PLUM Committee not to approve the Olympic Tower Project.

Debra Bergman

padmini.debra@gmail.com

2430 Loy Lane

[LA, California 90041](#)

Marquetta Nolen <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sun, Oct 3, 2021 at 9:39 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org, councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org, emma.howard@lacity.org, gilbert.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org, councilmember.lee@lacity.org

Honorable Councilmember De Leon and PLUM Committee Members,

I am concerned about the insufficient study of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions in the Olympic Tower Project.

The Greenhouse Gas analysis contained in the Project's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) fails to comply with CEQA Guidelines. Specifically, the GHG plans relied upon in the EIR are entirely aspirational and lacking any binding, project-specific requirements. Despite the Project's GHG emissions exceeding thresholds routinely used by the City for other City Projects, the City erroneously refuses to apply the same thresholds now to this project.

Given the insufficient Greenhouse Gas emissions analysis for this project, I urge the PLUM Committee not to approve the Olympic Tower Project.

Marquetta Nolen

mnolenyb@gmail.com

P.O. Box 93868

Pasadena, California 91109



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Council File number 21-0470 Case: CHC-2020-7764-HCM

1 message

Deborah Ann Moore <deborahmoore@kw.com>

Sun, Oct 3, 2021 at 12:11 PM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org

Dear Honorable PLUM Committee:

While we are pleased that the PLUM Committee declared the entire Weddington Golf & Tennis subject property a Historic-Cultural Monument, we are disappointed that the Committee appears to have altered the full recommendation of the Los Angeles Cultural Heritage Commission. That recommendation recognized Weddington Golf & Tennis, otherwise known as Studio City Golf & Tennis Club, for its "combination of greenery, open space, social outlets, and community recreation". Only a facility that retains the characteristics of the current property AND is fully open to the general public can meet this criterion.

As a property that for generations has been open to everyone regardless of age, gender, and ethnicity for an affordable price without membership dues. Weddington Golf & Tennis stands out for its planned landscape, specifically, the number and application of over 350 palm, eucalyptus, and pine trees, to define the fairways.

Any proposed demolition of this excellent example of a post WW II Era recreational facility will result in a significant loss to the heritage of Studio City. I urge you to protect this iconic Studio City landmark for future generations.

Sincerely

Deborah Moore and Ilya Salkind
818-465-3231



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Council File number 21-0470 Case: CHC-2020-7764-HCM

1 message

'january ryan' via Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

Sun, Oct 3, 2021 at 1:26 PM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org

Dear Honorable PLUM Committee:

While we are pleased that the PLUM Committee declared the entire Weddington Golf & Tennis subject property a Historic-Cultural Monument, we are disappointed that the Committee appears to have altered the full recommendation of the Los Angeles Cultural Heritage Commission. That recommendation recognized Weddington Golf & Tennis, otherwise known as Studio City Golf & Tennis Club, for its "combination of greenery, open space, social outlets, and community recreation". Only a facility that retains the characteristics of the current property AND is fully open to the general public can meet this criterion.

As a property that for generations has been open to everyone regardless of age, gender, and ethnicity for an affordable price without membership dues. Weddington Golf & Tennis stands out for its planned landscape, specifically, the number and application of over 350 palm, eucalyptus, and pine trees, to define the fairways.

Any proposed demolition of this excellent example of a post WW II Era recreational facility will result in a significant loss to the heritage of Studio City. I urge you to protect this iconic Studio City landmark for future generations.

Sincerely

Sent from my iPhone